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“It was particularly helpful to have dedicated time when we could all focus collectively on one issue.”

100-level student learning outcomes

Students will be able to:
- SLO 1: develop a research strategy
- SLO 2: access needed information
- SLO 3: evaluate information
- SLO 4: develop an argument supported by evidence

“...having focused time to devote to the SLOs and assessment that was longer than an hour or two.”

Signature assessment - SLO 1

Students work through the steps of the research process throughout the eight weeks of our credit-bearing 100-level courses. However, articulating a distinct strategy based on a research need is difficult.

As a group, we decided to make the task a little more approachable by introducing the concept of mind mapping. By asking students to map their personal research strategies in the first week of class and then again at the end of the course, we hope to identify changes in approach and instill effective, and transferable, research habits.

“I was useful to take time out of the usual day-to-day to focus on moving our assessment plans forward.”

Signature assessment - SLO 3

To address the multi-faceted nature of information evaluation, this particular assessment is broken down into two parts. The first asks students to correctly identify types of information sources commonly used in academic research. The second, featured below, asks students to evaluate sources based on three evaluation criteria: authority, currency, and relevance.

Students are given a research topic and are tasked with critically evaluating each article to determine which are appropriate for the given information need. Students justify their decisions through written discussions of each article.

May retreat goals

We plan to tackle the following during our May retreat:
1. Create an assessment plan for 100-level courses
2. Analyze assessment data for SLO 1
3. Analyze assessment data for SLO 3
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The University Libraries Curriculum Committee meets monthly to discuss curriculum changes, pedagogy, and assessment. However, we struggle to fit any meaningful progress on developing good assessments into our one-hour meetings. Our solution was an off-campus retreat where we could work together to make significant progress on signature summative assessments for our 100-level courses. Our Assessment Mini-Grant funded two assessment retreats, one in December 2016 and one in May 2017.

“The best part of the retreat was having focused time to devote to the SLOs and assessment that was longer than an hour or two.”
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