5-2011

Provider-neutral EMonographs

Jessica Hayden

Hannah Jamieson

Follow this and additional works at: http://digscholarship.unco.edu/libfacpub

Recommended Citation

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the University Libraries at Scholarship & Creative Works @ Digital UNC. It has been accepted for inclusion in University Libraries Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Scholarship & Creative Works @ Digital UNC. For more information, please contact Jane.Monson@unco.edu.
Provider-neutral records for e-monographs

Making it easier for everyone
Why provider-neutral?

Why create a new record when you’re describing the same thing?

Much easier record location for copy catalogers: no need to sift through several records containing only minor variation when one record will work for everyone!
Creating a provider-neutral record

- Original catalog records loaded to OCLC should be provider-neutral.

- If records are not, OCLC will work to “neutralize” these records by removing fields specific to only one vendor (ex. 533).

- This is true even if the monograph is available from only one vendor originally. Assume multiple providers will exist for every e-monograph.
Creating a provider-neutral record

- 300 field = 1 online resource
- No 533 reproduction note (no distinction between an electronic reproduction and a born-digital item)
- No vendor-specific 7XX added entries
- No vendor-specific access restriction, format or systems requirement notes
- No vendor-specific 8XX series entries
- No 856 links that are specific to vendor or institution; no subfield z.
Elements to be edited locally

- Add 7XX fields for provider names, if used.
- Add 5XX ‘issued by’ note, if used.
- Add 856 linking fields for each instance of the resource with local subfield z information as needed.
- Delete any 856 fields linking to URLs for which you do not have access.
**Health literacy [electronic resource] : a prescription to end confusion / Committee on Health Literacy, Board on Neuroscience and Behavioral Health, Institute of Medicine of the National Academies ; Lynn Nielsen-Bohman, Allison M. Panzer, David A. Kindig, editors.**


Includes bibliographical references and index.

Description based on print version record.

Health education \#z United States.

Literacy \#z United States.

**Nielsen-Bohman, Lynn.**

**Panzer, Allison M.**

**Kindig, David A.**

Institute of Medicine (U.S.). Committee on Health Literacy.

Provider Neutral Task Force (PCC) example records.


http://site.ebrary.com/id/10062734


1 online resource (xlv, 198 p.);

This workshop was sponsored by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) and was held in Washington, DC, October 23-24, 2006.

Includes bibliographical references.

Description based on print version record.

Exercise.

Physical fitness.

Suttor, Carol West.

Kraak, Vivica I.
Single vs. Separate: a local policy decision

- Single: all e-manifestations on one record
- Separate: one record for each e-manifestation
Single record: the pros

- More user-friendly display
- Next-gen catalog displays can be really cluttered!
- Single set of access points for multiple manifestations
The concise Oxford companion to African American literature

ISBN: 9780195136832 Date: 02/2001
Subjects: Literature: History & Criticism, African Americans in literature
This abridgement of The Oxford Companion to African American Literature will make the entries of the greatest general interest available to a wider audience...

Content Type: eBook
Availability: Full Text Online

The Concise Oxford Companion to African American Literature

Author: Foster, Frances Smith; Andrews, William L; Harris, Trudier
Subjects: African Americans, African American literature
A breathtaking achievement, this Concise Companion is a suitable crown to the astonishing production in African American literature and criticism that has...

Content Type: eBook
Availability: Full Text Online

Results powered by Summon™ from Serials Solutions, a division of ProQuest
Single record: the cons

- Batch loading/batch removal
- Consortial catalogs
- Question of ownership
- Convert older records to this standard?
- Lack of reliable identifier to locate items automatically
FRBR implications

- Easy collocation of multiple manifestations
- May facilitate the “user-friendly” display for libraries choosing to use separate records
- ILS vendor-driven
- Cataloger acceptance
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