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ABSTRACT


Schizophrenia occurs in about 1.1% of the population in the United States--more than 2.2 million. Over 100,000 patients will be diagnosed with schizophrenia in the United States this year. As of 2016, there is no cure for schizophrenia but the treatment success is high (over 50% completely recover or are much improved with relative independence). The cost of schizophrenia is estimated to be over $6.2 billion. Most people with schizophrenia are seen by a primary care provider before they receive referral to a mental health professional. In fact, primary care visits are six times higher in the six years before a first episode psychosis in patients with schizophrenia than in patients without schizophrenia. This frequency is not easily tracked by individual providers. More than 15 schizophrenia screening tools are available. Providing a brief education and selecting a short screening tool could quickly update primary care providers, possibly lead to earlier intervention for patients, and greatly improve the quality of life for those with schizophrenia and for their families. A Delphi review included 41 experts in the initial round, 34 in the second round, 21 in the third round, and nine in the fourth round. The fifth round was the validation of the tool and included 21 experts, although not necessarily the same experts.

KEY WORDS: Schizophrenia, mental health, primary care.
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## CHAPTER I. PROBLEM STATEMENT ............................................. 1
- Background .................................................................................. 1
- Purpose of the Study ................................................................... 1
- Need for the Study/Project ......................................................... 2
- Research Study Question ............................................................... 5
- Objectives of the Doctor of Nursing Practice Scholarly Project .... 5
- Definition of Schizophrenia ......................................................... 6

## CHAPTER II. REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE .................................. 9
- Historical Changes in the Treatment of Schizophrenia: A Brief Review 9
- Synthesis of the Literature ............................................................. 12
- Summary of the Literature Review ............................................... 15
- Theoretical Framework ................................................................. 16

## CHAPTER III. METHODOLOGY .................................................... 19
- Project Design ............................................................................... 19
- Setting ......................................................................................... 19
- Sample ....................................................................................... 19
- Project Mission, Vision, and Objectives ...................................... 19
- Instrumentation .......................................................................... 20
- Data Analysis Procedure .............................................................. 21
- Duration of the Plan ................................................................... 22
- Ethical Considerations ................................................................. 23
- Resources/Personnel/Budget ....................................................... 23

## CHAPTER IV. DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS .......................... 24
- Survey One .................................................................................. 24
- Survey Two .................................................................................. 34
- Survey Three ............................................................................... 41
- Survey Four ................................................................................ 45
- Survey Five ............................................................................... 46
- Summary .................................................................................... 46
CHAPTER V. DISCUSSION

Results of Surveys

Unintended Consequences

Clinical Guideline Objective Outcomes

Conclusions

Limitations to Methodology

Limitations to Project

Recommendations for Future Research

Doctor of Nursing Practice Evaluative Criteria

Summary

REFERENCES

APPENDIX A. INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD APPROVAL

APPENDIX B. CONSENT FORM FOR HUMAN PARTICIPATION IN RESEARCH

APPENDIX C. SURVEY ONE

APPENDIX D. SURVEY TWO

APPENDIX E. SURVEY THREE

APPENDIX F. SURVEY FOUR

APPENDIX G. SURVEY FIVE

APPENDIX H. BRIEF INFORMATION SHEET
# LIST OF TABLES

1. Career Title/Role.................................................................................................................. 25
2. Length Participants Have Been in Practice................................................................. 26
3. Experience in Recognizing Possible Schizophrenia.................................................... 27
4. How Often Participants Felt the Need to Screen for Mental Health..................... 28
5. How Well the Current Screening Process Is Working.............................................. 29
6. Treating Schizophrenia as Early as Possible Can Prevent or Slow Those Changes and Improve the Quality of Life of Those Patients .......... 30
7. Symptoms That Made You Think a Patient Might Have Schizophrenia............ 31
8. Screening Tools Used for Mental Health or What Was Provided in Electronic Health Records ................................................................................................................. 32
9. Additional Information to Better Recognize Schizophrenia................................. 33
10. Additional Information Requested.................................................................................. 34
12. Kind of Screening Preferred by Professionals for Mental Health ...................... 36
13. Some Challenges Preventing Professions from Reading New Information on Schizophrenia........................................................................................................... 37
14. One Thing That Could Be Added to a Professional’s Day................................. 38
15. The Following Applied if in Primary Care or Other Non-Psych Professions ................................................................................................................................. 39
16. Whether Primary Care Clinics Knew About Mental Health Providers in the Area and How to Refer Patients to Them ....................................................... 40
17. Preferred Learning Style ................................................................. 41
18. Screening Frequency for Mental Health ........................................... 42
19. Familiarity with Adult Symptom Screener and Access to It ............... 42
20. Preference for Receipt of More Education on Schizophrenia, Particularly How to Recognize It and Family Education ........................................... 43
21. Type of Peer-Reviewed Journals Read ............................................ 44
22. Conferences That Would Be a Good Fit for This Topic ...................... 45
23. Would a Mental Health Screening Tool Be Helpful and Practical for Primary Care Providers? .......................................................... 46
LIST OF FIGURES

1. Relative prevalence of schizophrenia ................................................................. 3
CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Background

People with prodromal symptoms of schizophrenia initially seek help from primary care providers (PCPs). These people see their PCP at a greater rate than people without an eventual diagnosis of schizophrenia. Because schizophrenia occurs in only about 1-2% of people world-wide, providers only see a very small patient population in their normal work week. Primary care providers are not trained extensively in the diagnosis of schizophrenia but could be able to detect prodromal signs if they had a brief information sheet so they knew what to look for. This Doctor of Nursing Practice scholarly project sought to provide such an information sheet.

Purpose of the Study

Schizophrenia has received an undeserved reputation. Mass murders occur more than 20 times each year in the United States; most of these tragedies are reported by the media as being committed by people with schizophrenia. However, “detailed media reports of the perpetrator’s behaviors, when available, suggest states of psychotic mania, defining bipolar disorder, not schizophrenia” (Lake, 2014, p. 214). Thus, schizophrenia becomes the “buzzword” defining mass killers and other violent criminals, creating even more stigma for those who suffer from this persistent, chronic disease. In fact, people with schizophrenia are rarely a danger to anyone but themselves.
Rather than contributing to the hysteria surrounding the idea of schizophrenia, there must be a better way to identify those with or at risk for schizophrenia. The purpose of this capstone project was to identify specific characteristics and symptoms that present an opportunity to conduct a simple screening in primary care. Educating PCPs when to screen for schizophrenia and giving them a short efficient information sheet would enable those patients to access mental health services in a timelier fashion. There is a real-life reason for this: 60% of patients with appropriate medication do not have relapses, improving their quality of life immensely ("Schizophrenia Facts and Statistics," 2010).

Primary care is usually the initial setting for a person with schizophrenia to present with symptoms. Because PCPs do not often see patients with schizophrenia (less than 2% of the population) and usually have received no special formal training in the diagnosis of schizophrenia beyond their initial schooling, an information sheet would be helpful in quickly identifying those patients in need of follow up by mental health professionals. Although PCPs could treat patients with schizophrenia in their practice, the time necessary for patient education, family education, and follow-up care is limited in primary care.

Need for the Study/Project

Prevalence of Schizophrenia in the General Population

According to the National Institute of Mental Health (2016), the prevalence rate for schizophrenia in those persons over age 18 at any given time is 1.1% of the population or approximately 51 million people worldwide (see Figure 1).
• 6 to 12 million people in China (a rough estimate based on the population)
• 4.3 to 8.7 million people in India (a rough estimate based on the population)
• 2.2 million people in United States
• 285,000 people in Australia
• Over 280,000 people in Canada
• Over 250,000 diagnosed cases in Britain. ("Schizophrenia Facts and Statistics," 2010, p. 10)

Figure 1. Relative prevalence of schizophrenia ("Schizophrenia Facts and Statistics," 2010, p. 8.)

Where are the people with schizophrenia? Six percent are homeless or live in shelters, 6% live in jails or prisons, 5-6% live in hospitals, 10% live in nursing homes, 24% live with a family member, 28% are living independently, and 20% live in supervised housing ("Schizophrenia facts and statistics," 2010, p. 5). More than half of
all people diagnosed with schizophrenia completely recover or are much improved and relatively independent. However, 15% are dead, mostly from suicide.

Schizophrenia tends to occur more often in young males, requiring a higher hospitalization rate between the ages of 15 and 40. Sometimes psychosis appears relatively rapidly over a few weeks or months. More often, however, it develops over months or even years. Psychotic symptoms emerge after problems with anxiety, depression, social relationships, and work or school performance (Hafner & an der Heiden, 2008).

**Financial Impact**

The cost of schizophrenia in the United States in 2013 was estimated at more than $155 billion including direct health care costs, indirect and non-healthcare costs, unemployment, and productivity loss due to caregiving (Cloutier et al., 2016). Schizophrenia affects 1-2% of the population worldwide. Severe and disabling as this disease is, the prognosis for this diagnosis can be debilitating in itself. However, with proper medication, recovery is possible. A prodromal phase can last about six months (for purposes of diagnosis).

However, a study in Scandinavia showed increased visits to primary care for a period of six years prior to diagnosis for those with schizophrenia as compared to those without diagnosis (Norgaard et al., 2016). This might be a window of opportunity for an earlier diagnosis and hence earlier treatment, improving the quality of life for these patients.
Research Study Question

The following research question guided this scholarly project:

Q1 Would a brief information sheet help primary care providers recognize possible schizophrenia?

To help formulate an information sheet and guide the search for evidence, a PICOT statement was developed: P = Patient population, I = Intervention or issue of interest, C = comparison intervention or issue of interest, O = Outcome, and T = Time frame. The patient population in this scholarly project was patients with possible schizophrenia. The intervention was a brief information sheet. The comparison intervention was patients with possible schizophrenia who were evaluated by the brief information sheet as compared to those patients with possible schizophrenia who are not evaluated by the brief information sheet. The outcome would be better health screening and referral to a mental health provider. The time frame was during an office visit with a primary care provider.

Schizophrenia is an often overlooked disease; with prompt diagnosis and appropriate medication, patients can have a very positive future. Twenty years after diagnosis, more than 50% of patients have recovered or live independent lives. Unfortunately, more than 15% commit suicide. If more education is done with PCPs and a brief information sheet is available, more patients could be helped earlier.

Objectives of the Doctor of Nursing Practice Scholarly Project

Although only about 1% of the population receive a diagnosis of schizophrenia, it is one of the most devastating diagnoses a patient can receive. The course of this disease can require expensive hospitalizations and multiple treatments over the course of a
patient’s life. Most people with undiagnosed schizophrenia present at the office of a PCP. This provider will only see schizophrenia in less than 1% of his/her patients. However, people with schizophrenia present at primary care more than those without schizophrenia, making primary care an unrecognized opportunity and an appropriate gateway to care. Indeed, the sooner schizophrenia is diagnosed, the better the prognosis. If more education is done with primary care providers and a brief information sheet is available, more patients can be helped earlier. Thus, this scholarly project had the following objectives:

1. Prepare information on detecting possible schizophrenia at the primary care level
2. Identify at least one appropriate screening tool
3. Conduct a Delphi review of this information—five rounds
4. Develop a pilot program utilizing this information that would be appropriate and effective in primary care

**Definition of Schizophrenia**

“Schizophrenia is a persistent, often chronic, and usually serious mental disorder affecting a variety of aspects of behavior, thinking, and emotion” (Rosenberg, 2009, p. 10). Over the past 20 years, evidence has accumulated that schizophrenia is biologically based; even more will be learned with genetic advancements. Patients with psychosis, delusions, or hallucinations might have thinking that is disconnected or illogical. Social withdrawal and disinterest might be associated with schizophrenia.

Schizophrenia is a brain disorder that affects how people think, feel, and perceive. A hallmark symptom of schizophrenia is psychosis, such as experiencing auditory
hallucinations (voices) and delusions (fixed false beliefs). Symptoms of schizophrenia might be divided into the following four domains:

- **Cognitive symptoms.** Neurocognitive deficits (e.g., deficits in working memory and attention and in executive functions such as the ability to organize and abstract); patients also find it difficult to understand nuances and subtleties of interpersonal cues and relationships.

- **Mood symptoms.** Patients often seem cheerful or sad in a way that is difficult to understand; they often are depressed (Frankenburg, Xiong, & Albucher, 2018).

- **Negative symptoms.** Decrease in emotional range, poverty of speech, and loss of interests and drive; the person with schizophrenia has tremendous inertia.

- **Positive symptoms.** Psychotic symptoms, such as hallucinations, which are usually auditory; delusions--beliefs that the majority of people do not hold; and disorganized speech and behavior.

According to the fifth edition of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (DSM-V; American Psychiatric Association [APA], 2013), the patient must have experienced at least two of the following symptoms to meet the criteria for diagnosis of schizophrenia: delusions, hallucinations, disorganized speech, disorganized or catatonic behavior, and negative symptoms (or reduced functioning). At least one of the symptoms must be the presence of delusions, hallucinations, or disorganized speech.

Continuous signs of the disturbance must persist for at least six months, during which the patient must experience at least one month of active symptoms (or less if
successfully treated), with social or occupational deterioration problems occurring over a significant amount of time. These problems must not be attributable to another condition (APA, 2000). In 2013, the American Psychiatric Association removed schizophrenia subtypes from the fourth edition of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (DSM-IV) because they did not appear to be helpful for providing better-targeted treatment or predicting treatment response.

For the purpose of this paper, however, DSM-IV (APA, 2000) criteria were used. Although the DSM-V was published in 2013, there was still controversy about its contents. From a survey of 2,828 psychiatrists and psychologists, more than half of the providers responded they were not using the new criteria nor was it critical for billing (Cassels, 2014). The most significant change in the schizophrenic diagnosis was the removal of sub-types. This was not critical for the first diagnosis in primary care as well as referral to mental health resources.
CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

A literature search was performed using both EBSCO host and PsychInfo. Boolean phrase searches included “primary care schizophrenia,” “prodromal schizophrenia,” “primary care,” “mental health,” “schizophrenia diagnosis,” “schizophrenia screening,” and “schizophrenia costs.” A Google search was also performed to find stand-alone websites with information, e.g., NIH, NIMH, and schizophrenia. More than 200 article titles were scanned and 40 were reviewed. In addition, the scholarly project research advisor, Dr. Kathleen Dunemn, provided articles on clinical practice guideline development. Even though a clinical practice guideline was not developed, this article was used to help develop the brief information sheet.

Historical Changes in the Treatment of Schizophrenia: A Brief Review

Over the past 20 years, the concept of recovery has emerged in the mental health field, guiding both policy and practice in the United States. Departing from the traditional medical model of care, consumer autonomy and choice became the hallmarks of a recovery-oriented mental health system. Also, a collaborative relationship has emerged between patient and provider.

In the 1960s, deinstitutionalization of mental health facilities and converting to community-based care failed as did the Community Support Program of the 1970s and
the Consumer/Survivor movement in the 1980s and the early 1990s (Stein et al., 2015). While all of these changes were made with the best of intentions to be the most effective form of mental health services for people with serious mental illnesses, these efforts were not successful, leaving adults with mental illness and their families repeating cycles of hope and despair (Stein et al., 2015).

The Community Mental Health Act (CMHCA) was passed in the 1970s (Stein et al., 2015). Community Support Programs were established to reform the whole mental health system to try to address problems created by deinstitutionalizing the mentally ill. These programs had goals such as continuous community treatment and support services, assertive crisis and outreach services, and coordinated community care through case management. Initially employment, independent living, and education emerged. This movement started with patient and family advocates who organized for patient rights, protections, and a voice in the treatment decisions. Outcomes from research showed community support would be effective but the resource-intensive needs of these programs precluded large-scale reforms (Stein et al., 2015).

The Consumer/Survivor Movement (CSM) met with more resistance from professionals already in the field (Stein et al., 2015). Legislation introduced advanced mental health planning councils. “However, ideological tensions between consumers, psychiatric survivors, and family advocates alienated mental health professionals and tended to undermine the overall effectiveness of the movement” (Stein et al., 2015, p. 35).

Finally, in the 1990s, the Recovery Movement began to shape social policy (Stein et al., 2015). The idea that people with serious mental illness could live meaningful and
satisfying lives, even with the complete absence of psychiatric symptoms, emerged. The recovery paradigm included assisting individuals in pursuing their preferred futures, managing symptoms, and overcoming psychological consequences that came with mental illness. The push toward recovery-oriented started in earnest and began to turn the tide of attitudes toward mental illness (Stein et al., 2015). There have been significant challenges to this transformation of mental health delivery systems due to a lack of consensus in defining recovery, limited research on mental health outcomes, difficulties implanting recovery practices in community mental health settings, and shortages in the mental health profession. But finally, providers were starting to listen to patients.

Another way to study the effectiveness of these programs was to research adults’ mental illness personal experiences. The research journal *Schizophrenia Bulletin* began publishing first-person accounts written by patients and their families in 1979. More recently, patients and families have become activists. Gumber and Stein (2013) used these published pieces to study the narratives of the author’s views (patient views) of the mental health system. Although consistent, this study did not address the changes in the mental health system as experienced by adults with schizophrenia over time.

Then came qualitative research by Stein et al. (2015) that focused on how adults with schizophrenia perceived the care they received over the course of the changes in the mental health system. This research examined the engagement of patients with the mental health system and their subjective experiences and how that perspective had changed over time.

One of the questions from this study asked, “What factors do these consumers identify as being most important to their mental health and personal well-being?” (Stein
et al., 2015, p. 36). Shorter hospitalizations and more services with case managers who listened and involved the patient in the process were the more prevalent themes. Adults who were in the system longer tended to view mental health services as more important to their well-being while those with shorter term involvement cited family and relationships as more important. Many patients believed access to mental health services significantly improved their quality of life and even their ability to still be alive.

**Synthesis of the Literature**

The literature showed a promising period of time during which a patient with schizophrenia would visit primary care during the prodromal phase of this disease, providing an opportunity to recognize early symptoms. In the DSM-V (APA, 2013), the diagnostic criteria for schizophrenia delineated a prodromal period of six months. The International Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, usually called by the short-form name International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10) is the standard diagnostic tool for epidemiology, health management, and clinical purposes and was adopted by the World Health Organization (2009). The ICD-10 is the latest version and is critical for billing, which is a stark reality for both primary care and mental health care. The ICD-10 includes any prodromal phase in its diagnostic criteria; however, it acknowledged psychotic symptoms might occur during a prodromal phase of weeks or even months with specific symptoms, e.g., loss of interest in work, personal appearance, and social activities in combination with a mild degree of depression and anxiety.

This prodromal phase could last even longer. A study by Hafner (2015) showed a period of up to five years of negative and cognitive psychiatric symptoms could occur in adults who were eventually diagnosed with schizophrenia with a year of increasing
psychiatric symptoms leading up to their diagnosis. While the psychiatric symptoms might not be prominent enough for a textbook diagnosis, there was evidence that early diagnosis and efforts to minimize the duration of psychosis were vital as these were associated with a better prognosis including less positive and negative symptoms, greater likelihood of remission, better social functioning, and a better long-term outlook.

In Denmark, with free access to a public healthcare system, the primary care physician acts a gatekeeper to secondary healthcare (Norgaard et al., 2016). For most adults with chronic disease, the first contact on the diagnostic pathway is the primary care physician. There are three phases to the prodromal period: (a) the time from symptoms onset until the initial contact with the primary care physician (patient delay), (b) from that first contact to the development of so many symptoms that a diagnosis of schizophrenia can be made, and (c) when the disease is evident but not yet diagnosed (the diagnostic delay). Reducing the time from the first presentation to the primary care physician and the diagnosis and appropriate treatment was the goal.

Norgaard et al. (2016) analyzed attendance and help-seeking patterns of adults with schizophrenia as they presented to primary care by counting (a) face-to-face contacts with PCPs in their offices and emergency off-hours and (b) face-to-face contacts for up to six years prior to a first schizophrenia diagnosis. Identifying 21,894 people with the diagnosis for schizophrenia, the study used a population control of 437,880. The mean age at first schizophrenia diagnosis was 34.35 years of age (Norgaard et al., 2016).

The largest difference between the two groups was the increase in out-of-hours services (more for identified schizophrenia than for the control group) but the pattern was consistent in both groups for primary care contacts during the one to two years (of the six
years) leading up to the diagnosis (Norgaard et al., 2016). Another pattern that emerged was no psychiatric contact until three to four years before diagnosis, which would indicate psychiatric symptoms such as depression and anxiety prior to actual psychosis. This study indicated the paths to diagnosis were long and might lead to other diagnoses for several years until the patient actually received the diagnosis of schizophrenia.

In contrast was a study conducted by Andersen et al. (2013) in Canada where patients with regular contact with their primary care physician reduced the likelihood of having contact with emergency care facilities and inpatient care hospitals. Andersen et al. found this regular care increased the time interval to the first visit with a psychiatrist but did not indicate whether or not this was detrimental.

Post-diagnosis trajectories were studied by Cole, Apud, Weinberger, and Dickinson (2012) who found three premorbid subtypes of schizophrenia: (a) normal academic and social functioning before and until diagnosis, (b) normal academic and social functioning deteriorating with time until onset, and (c) poor functioning during childhood and further deteriorating during the years before diagnosis. In 2015, Austin et al. published a study that again showed a long duration of undiagnosed schizophrenia was associated with a more severe, positive symptoms course of the disease.

“Increased help-seeking behavior in the prodromal phase of schizophrenia might be a window of opportunities for earlier diagnostics” (Norgaard et al., 2016, p. 225). This study from Scandinavia found individuals with schizophrenia had increased visit rates during the six years prior to diagnosis as compared to age- and gender-matched individuals. In general practice, the study found undiagnosed schizophrenia (those patients who were later diagnosed with schizophrenia) resulted in more primary care
visits that those without schizophrenia. These increased visits would probably not be
detectable by the PCP as the percentage of patients eventually diagnosed with
schizophrenia is only a little over 1% of the general population. The results of this study
“demonstrate that patients with schizophrenia show vulnerability several years before a
distinct presentation leads to a diagnosis” (Norgaard et al., 2016, p. 232).

Summary of the Literature Review

In reviewing the literature on schizophrenia in the primary care setting, it became
apparent that most patients with possible schizophrenia came in contact with PCPs before
they came into contact with mental health providers. Because schizophrenia is only seen
in about 1% of the population, the symptoms could easily be missed by PCPs who are not
looking for the disease.

In 2015, a review article conducted by Addington, Stowkowky, and Weiser
looked at screening tools for diagnosing those at clinical high risk for psychosis.
Seventeen instruments studied in peer reviewed articles were used to determine which
ones might be most suitable for screening for prodromal symptoms and/or psychosis—a
determining symptom for schizophrenia. The majority of the screening instruments were
under-explored with poor validation. So how is a PCP without an extensive background
in mental health expected to know how to screen for this disease process? The
appropriate information sheet should be short, easy for both the patient and the provider
to understand, and easy to interpret.

The purpose of the literary search and synthesis was to find and compare research
articles on prodromal schizophrenia, how primary care handled these patients, primary
care’s attitudes toward schizophrenia, and analysis of screening tools available. By
synthesizing this information and presenting a brief information sheet with which to work, PCPs might be able to detect and refer those patients who might be at risk for schizophrenia.

Many articles were found on prodromal symptoms. One article focused on primary care’s attitude toward schizophrenia and how it changed with post-graduate education on this disorder. Other articles pointed out the great cost of care, both direct and indirect. Research for family education was highly encouraged and support for the whole family was emphasized.

**Theoretical Framework**

The Stetler (2001) model of research utilization to facilitate evidence-based practice was used to guide this scholarly project. A study done by the nursing department of Baystate Medical Center emphasized the use of research findings as well as other sources of information (Stetler, 2001). This project used external evidence from research as well as internal evidence from credible nurse practitioners. The Stetler model uses six phases; the following explains how each phase was used by this project.

- **Phase I: Preparation** was the scholarly project statement of the problem.
- **Phase II: Validation** was the research into the problem statement.
- **Phase III: Comparative evaluation** was the Delphi review used for the scholarly project.
- **Phase IV: Decision making** was one of the outcomes of the Delphi review.
- **Phase V: Translational application** will be the pilot project following the defense of the scholarly project.
- **Phase VI: Evaluation** will take place after the pilot project.
The Delphi review was the model used for Phase III (comparative evaluation) of this scholarly project. The Delphi technique uses a series of questionnaires or “rounds” of information as a structured process. Because panel composition can influence ratings, a combination of psychiatric nurse practitioners, primary care nurse practitioners, and nurse practitioner students from both specialties were sought.

Although clinical practice guidelines were not developed, the standards for them were reviewed in terms of how the information sheet was developed. The Institute of Medicine (cited in Norgaard et al., 2016) provided eight standards for developing clinical practice guidelines (CPGs):

- Standard 1 Establishing transparency
- Standard 2 Management of conflict of interest
- Standard 3 Guideline Development group composition
- Standard 4 Clinical practice guideline-systematic review intersection
- Standard 5 Establishing evidence foundations for and rating strength of recommendations
- Standard 6 Articulation of recommendation
- Standard 7 External review
- Standard 8 Updating. (p. 1)

The steps to developing a clinical practice guideline (or, in this case, an information sheet) are as follows:

1. Identifying and refining the subject area
2. Convening and running development groups
3. Assessing evidence identified by systematic literature review
4. Translating evidence into recommendations
5. Subjecting guidelines to external review.

According to this article, “high priority topics have the potential for evidence-based practice to improve health outcomes, minimize undesirable variations of care, and reduce the burden of disease and health disparities” (Rosenfeld & Schiffman, 2009, p. 8). Feasible topics were (a) those with enough high-quality published evidence to craft guidelines, (b) some systematic reviews already published, and (c) those that used a clear definition of the conditions under consideration. The current topic for the scholarly project was both high-priority and feasible due to the significant impact of patient outcomes and the development of a brief information sheet.
CHAPTER III

METHODOLOGY

Project Design

The design of this scholarly project was a non-experimental Delphi review of a brief information sheet. The screening would harm no one, neither the provider nor the patient, whether he/she had schizophrenia or not. A Delphi review was accomplished with a panel of experts over the internet through Survey Monkey, which was anonymous.

Setting

The setting for the Delphi Review was Survey Monkey, which is an online survey development cloud-based software founded in 1999 by Ryan Finley. SurveyMonkey provides free, customizable, anonymous surveys that can be posted on social media.

Sample

This scholarly project used a random sample based on providers who completed the Survey Monkey survey. The sample was obtained from Facebook groups who were specifically nurse practitioners, both family and psychiatric, as well as nurse practitioner students.

Project Mission, Vision, and Objectives

The mission of this project was to help PCPs recognize possible schizophrenia in their patients so the patient could receive services from a mental health provider. The vision for this project was to help recognize that schizophrenia is an often overlooked
disease with which patients can have, with prompt diagnosis and appropriate medication, a very positive future. Twenty years after diagnosis, more than 50% of patients have recovered or live independent lives but more than 15% commit suicide. If more education is done with PCPs and a brief information sheet is used, most patients with undiagnosed schizophrenia could be helped in a timelier manner, resulting in a better prognosis.

The objective of this project was to produce a brief information sheet so it could be disseminated to primary care providers in many settings, providing better, earlier results for patients with schizophrenia.

**Instrumentation**

Using *Critical Appraisal and Selection of Data Collection Instruments: A Step-By-Step Guide* (Dunemn, Roehrs, & Wilson, 2017), the following four steps were used to determine the appropriate data collection instrument: Step I--Conceptualizing the proposed quantitative research project, Step II--Find an existing instrument for the proposed study, Step III--Critical assessment of the proposed measurement instrument including any concerns about the proposed instrument, and Step IV--Decision to select or non-select the data collection instrument for the study of interest.

The Delphi technique was used to reach a consensus on whether a brief tool for schizophrenia would be helpful to those providers in a primary care setting. The first round of questions identified the responders and their knowledge of the symptoms of schizophrenia. The second round concerned preferred learning style and preferred method of learning. The third round took into consideration the data from the first round and was concerned with where the responders sought their continuing education and
where providers preferred to get their education. A fourth round concerned the need for more information in a brief form. The final survey asked a yes or no question concerning the proposed brief information sheet.

Survey Monkey was used to develop the initial survey. A posting was done on Facebook on several sites for nurse practitioners, nurse practitioners in businesses, psychiatric-mental health nurse practitioners, and nurse practitioners networking group. Responders were asked to send an email to the researcher’s email (to bypass spam filters). This was about 50% effective. Then private messaging was used, which was more successful. About half the responders came from this group. Surveys were then posted on the group pages and then through private messaging.

**Data Analysis Procedure**

After the surveys were completed, the researcher evaluated the brief information sheet in the following manner:

1. Was the level of evidence sufficient?
2. Was the quality of evidence sufficient?
3. Were the guidelines (brief information sheet) relevant to both providers and patients?
4. Were the guidelines (brief information sheet) flexible to accommodate different ages and clinical settings?
5. Did the guidelines (brief information sheet) answer the economic indications for the provider (length of a limited visit, time spent to understand information)?
6. Did the guidelines (brief information sheet) provide enough information for the provider in such a way that they fit into everyday clinical practice?

7. Were the guidelines (brief information sheet) safe?

8. Would the guidelines (brief information sheet) improve the quality of care and the patient’s quality of life?

9. Were the guidelines (brief information sheet) complete for the objectives (Rosenfeld & Schiffman, 2009)?

**Duration of the Plan**

- August-September 2016--Completed research and first draft of proposal to Dr. Dunemn
- October 2016--Prepare second and final drafts to Dr. Dunemn
- November 2016--Defend proposal November 14th
- December 2016-May 2017--Received Institutional Review Board approval (see Appendix A), reviewed literature, started asking for panel of experts; gathered information, finalized panel of experts, put together list of symptoms, reviewed screening tools, prepared draft of information, submitted to panel of experts with a May 30, 2017 deadline for suggestions/criticisms (a consent form was sent at this time—see Appendix B)
- March 2019--Revised information as needed and resubmitted to experts for final review, completed scholarly project including comments from panel of experts, submitted scholarly project to committee
• March 5, 2019--Defended scholarly project
• Submit to peer-reviewed journals and present at conferences

**Ethical Considerations**

The sample was obtained from Facebook groups who were specifically nurse practitioners, both family and psychiatric, as well as nurse practitioner students. These experts were sent the initial round of questions and were emailed the second, third, and fourth rounds through Survey Monkey. The validation survey was two years later and although most of the same Facebook groups, the participants probably differed from the original experts. The surveys were completely anonymous. No ethical considerations were found.

**Resources/Personnel/Budget**

Resources were the University of Northern Colorado library, research advisor, library researcher, gallons of coffee, and lots of paper and time. Personnel were the internet panel of experts and the scholarly project student. No budget was anticipated except for submission to an editing expert before submitting to committee.
CHAPTER IV

DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

The purpose of this scholarly project was to identify a brief schizophrenia screening tool that could be used in the primary care setting. Research showed the earlier the possible diagnosis and subsequent treatment, the better the outcome for the patient. Since no validated screening tools were identified through research, one was constructed utilizing guidelines from the DSM-IV (APA, 2000).

Survey One

Of the 41 responders to the first survey (see Appendix C), 11 were psychiatric nurse practitioners, nine were primary care nurse practitioners, five were nurse practitioners from other fields (integrative family, assistant professor of nursing, adult health, palliative care), two were psychiatric nurse practitioner students, five were primary care nurse practitioner students, one was a psychologist, four were therapists, and three were registered nurses (see Table 1). Fourteen had been in practice for over five years, 12 had been in practice for more than a year, seven were new to practice, and six were not yet in practice (see Table 2). Of the 41 responders, only 12 or 29.27% felt confident about and were comfortable recognizing schizophrenia. Another 12 or 29.27% responders did not feel comfortable recognizing schizophrenia although they did it as part of their provider role. Three of the responders felt that they could recognize schizophrenia while another 15 were not sure how to recognize it (see Table 3).
Table 1

*Career Title/Role*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APRN Psychiatric Nurse Practitioner</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Care APRN</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other APRN</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatrist</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD, not Psychiatrist</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA Psychiatry</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA Primary Care</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRN Student Psychiatry</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRN Student Primary Care</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychologist</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapist</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• PMHNP Student</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• RN in PMHNP school</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• RN, BSN psych</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Graduating this week :D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Integrative Family NP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Graduating this week, will be working in primary care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Assistant professor of nursing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Licensed Masters Social Worker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Adult Health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Staff Counselor- College Mental Health Clinic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Clinical Social Worker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Palliative Care NP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Crisis counselor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• RN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2

*Length Participants Have Been in Practice*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-6 months</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months to 1 year</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5 years</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 years or more</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not yet in practice</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3

**Experience in Recognizing Possible Schizophrenia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I do this in my role as a provider and feel quite comfortable with it</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do this in my role as provider, but am not fully comfortable with it</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I seldom see patients with schizophrenia and am not sure how to recognize it</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am a student, but feel comfortable that I can recognize schizophrenia</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am a student, but and not fully comfortable with recognizing schizophrenia</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- I've worked with population in the mental health clinics and hosp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- I have experience in nursing patients with schizophrenia as an RN. I feel sure I would recognize it in clinical practice as a FNP. However, I have not encountered such a patient yet. I would refer to psychiatrist.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Occasional experience and not fully comfortable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- I seldom see patients with schizophrenia and have some idea how to recognize it but am not extremely confident about it.++</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- I have come across clients/patients who are diagnosed already, however can’t say I’ve observed the behaviors associated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fifty-six percent of providers screened for schizophrenia every day while 31.7% screened when they felt it was necessary. One respondent said it should be assessed at every visit while another said screening should be done informally at every visit and a formal screening should be done at wellness visits (see Table 4).
Table 4

How Often Participants Felt the Need to Screen for Mental Health

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I do it with every patient every day</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do it when necessary</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do it if a patient asks for it</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other (please specify)
- Initial dx interview, periodic assmt, testing as needed
- I work as a home care nurse
- Not currently in direct patient care but feel it should be assessed at every visit.
- Informal screening in every patient encounter, formal screening at wellness visits and when symptoms prompt me to do so.
- I do not have a screening tool that I use, but it is part of my history and discussion with the patient.
- I think it's needed more, but not sure how to
- Initial Assessment at start of therapy with CCAPS. Ideally I retest every 3 to gauge efficacy of treatment

While 22 out of 41 respondents thought their current screening for schizophrenia was excellent or good, 20 of 41 responders wanted more information about schizophrenia and how to recognize it. (see Table 5)
Table 5

_How Well the Current Screening Process Is Working_

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I think my screening process is excellent</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I think my screening process is good</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would like more information and knowledge about schizophrenia and how to recognize it</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sixty percent of the responders did not know that appropriate treatment could modulate brain changes in people with schizophrenia (see Table 6). Generally, there was agreement in the symptomology of schizophrenia (see Table 7). Nineteen or 33% used the screening tool in their electronic medical records (EMR) system. Only one responder said his/her office did not use EMRs (see Table 8).

More information on screening was requested by 19 or 47.5% of responders. The remaining 22 responders requested more information on symptoms, family education, treatment strategies (which was not a part of this research), and referral information (see Table 9). Other information was requested such as differentiating between schizophrenia and bipolar with hallucinations, pediatric vs adult symptoms, and age groups (this was also not part of this particular research project; see Table 10).
Table 6

*Treating Schizophrenia as Early as Possible Can Prevent or Slow Those Changes and Improve the Quality of Life of Those Patients*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I knew that.</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I didn't know that</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note "prevent" may be less likely than "modulate"
Table 7

Symptoms That Made You Think a Patient Might Have Schizophrenia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auditory hallucinations: Do you hear things that other people don't hear?</td>
<td>90.2</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual hallucinations: Do you see things that other people don't see?</td>
<td>90.2</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallucinations: Are these things scary to you?</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delusions: Do you believe things that other people don't believe? Do you think people are following you?</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does your television or radio talk directly to you?</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is someone or something telling you to do things that you wouldn't ordinarily do?</td>
<td>85.4</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you becoming less interested in things around you or in things you used to enjoy?</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A decrease or lack of personal hygiene?</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased feelings of isolation</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A lack of understanding or social nuances or social cues</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A general sadness that is difficult to understand</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced functioning</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disorganized speech</td>
<td>85.4</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8

Screening Tools Used for Mental Health or What Was Provided in Electronic Health Records

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Adult Symptom Screener screens for the following common DSM-IV diagnoses:</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression; Generalized Anxiety Disorder; Panic Disorder; Social Anxiety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disorder; Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder; PTSD; Bipolar Disorder; Eating</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disorder; Alcoholism; Drug Abuse; ADHD; Personality Disorder; and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizophrenia/Psychosis. A mental health screening tool is provided with</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>my EHR and I use it, but I don't know what it is called</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A mental health screening tool is provided with my EHR, but I don't use</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>it.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don't use electronic health records</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other (please specify)
- Complete hx /battery of psych testing as needed
- DSM V criteria
- Facility I work in is not fully electronic yet
- Emr does not have a screening tool
- PHQ-9 and GAD-7 are the only screening tools I have used.
- None of the above
- The only ones I have used in all of my clinical settings are PHQ 9, GAD 7 and WURS for adults.
- I don't use anything with my EHR. I look up things when I need more information or consult a friend who practices in mental health
- Phq 9, GAD 7, WURS
- Variation of GAD7, PHQ2/9, tools suggested by UTD.
- None available on the current EHR
- I do not diagnosis in this position, nor have I officially diagnosed
- I don't know if there is one with the ehr
- CCAPS
- Edmonton Screen it screens for depressions, anxiety, pain, nausea, insomnia, dyspnea, nausea
- SBIRT
- I am a professional assessor for people in crisis. I use an ehr, but not for diagnosis.
Table 9

*Additional Information to Better Recognize Schizophrenia*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Information on screening</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information on symptoms</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family education</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referral information</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• None, thank you.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Would like to have all of them. Would be extremely helpful. Thanks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• New treatment evidenced base research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• All of the above</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Treatment strategies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• It's hard to get mental health referrals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Treatment options</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• All of the above</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 10

Additional Information Requested

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• What age group do it normally effect?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Information on symptoms, family education and referral information would also be helpful.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Differentiating between schizophrenia and bipolar with hallucination features.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Pediatrics vs. Adult (differences in symptoms, how to recognize it earlier, genetic components, and if you are aware of anything natural that helps......like having adequate Vitamin D levels helps most mental health disorders, or factors that make it worse......such as sleep deprivation, diet, lifestyle factors etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• When should a patient be inpatient and when is it safe for them to be outpatient?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• How to encourage compliance with treatment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Side effects of treatment that need monitoring.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Different levels of schizophrenia ? what initial behaviors indicate possible schizophrenia dx ?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Survey Two

Of the 33 responses received from the second survey (see Appendix D), 17 reported they received their education from an article in a peer reviewed journal, 15 from an in-person class or conference, continuing medical education or webinar. Only eight reported a one-page information sheet with links to more information would be preferred, which was this researcher’s expected preference. These answers in particular dictated the questions for the third survey (see Table 11).
Table 11

*Most Efficient Way to Receive Educational Information About Schizophrenia*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drug rep in my office with drug recommendations</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An in-person class or conference, CME or webinar</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug rep at a dinner with drug recommendations</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An email from a drug rep</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An email from a national organization not associated with a particular drug</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A mailed one page information sheet with links to more information</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A multi page copy of research dealing with schizophrenia</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article in a peer reviewed journal</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General articles</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• e-mailed by my team at work, or in a professional's Facebook group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• In person training at conference or class, and/or Webinar.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The kind of mental health screening tool varied from a tool that patients fill out ahead of time to just letting a patient ask questions about depression during the patient visit (see Table 12). Challenges to reading new information were as expected with 61% saying they were just really busy. Forty-two percent said they already had stacks of information on their desk, floor, etc. One responder reported thinking about schizophrenia was scary to her/him. Two did not think they would understand it or did not want to treat it (see Table 13). Adding one thing to their day was another question to
judge what stressed providers. Nineteen wanted more time; 16 wanted more exercise; 11-12 wanted more money, education, and peace; and one asked for self-paced education on mental health topics (see Table 14).

Table 12

Kind of Screening Preferred by Professionals for Mental Health

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I prefer a screening tool that the patient fills out ahead of time and I review before seeing the patient</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I use the screening tool in my EMR</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I prefer a tool that I fill out while asking the patient questions</td>
<td>41.2%</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I prefer a more informal approach to mental health screening, a few questions to determine if further information is needed</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I prefer to let the patients ask me if they feel depressed or if they feel the need for mental health screening</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Full 1-2 intake interview, psych testing if approp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Psych eval if not straightforward dx</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 13

Some Challenges Preventing Professions from Reading New Information on Schizophrenia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No time, I am just really busy</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other issues are more important to me</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Since only 1% of the population have schizophrenia, I really don't see the</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>information being useful to my practice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have stacks of research and information already sitting on my desk, floor,</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thinking about schizophrenia is scary to me</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would I even understand it?</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don't want to treat this disease</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Tend to research more when I have a current pt w/schiz.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Nothing I read about it often</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 14

One Thing That Could Be Added to a Professional’s Day

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meditation</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peace</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Self-paced education on various topics related to mental health

Interesting to this researcher was PCPs either had mental health care available in their offices or knew to whom to refer patients. Only one PCP reported working in rural areas with few mental health resources. Of the mental health professionals, only seven reported the PCPs in their area knew how to refer to them. Two mental health professionals reported they did not know if PCPs knew they were there, three said they should send out some information, one reported he/she thought the doctors would not want to refer to them for mental health, and two reported they did not know how to get information to PCPs (see Table 15).
Table 15

The Following Applied if in Primary Care or Other Non-Psych Professions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I feel competent diagnosing and treating schizophrenia</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have others in my office that I can refer mental health patients to</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I know what resources are available in my community to refer mental health patients to</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have a list of mental health providers I can refer to</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Not enough resources in the community for mental health issues and there is still quite a stigma about getting help for mental health issues.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• I work in a few rural areas with little mental health professionals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• I am a mental health practitioner</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The next question was interesting as it could be another area for a research project--if the mental health provider knew whether primary care providers knew they were available in the community for mental health referrals. Only 7 of 22 providers answered this question yes, leaving a whole area of training to show mental health providers how to connect with primary care (see Table 16).
Table 16

*Whether Primary Care Clinics Knew About Mental Health Providers in the Area and How to Refer Patients to Them*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I don't know</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I should send them some information</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I think the doctors would be against sending patients to me</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I'm not sure how to go about letting them know</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Some outreach has been done</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Na</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provide mental health within private entity - prison</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• I do urgent care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preferred learning styles were also addressed in this survey. The styles were spread over video (13), audio (7), written (13), hands on (14), and the standard nursing practice of “see one, do one, teach one” (10; see Table 17).
Table 17

*Preferred Learning Style*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Video</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Written</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See one, do one, teach one</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hands on</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Survey Three**

Only 19 responses were received for this survey (see Appendix E), perhaps due to the title sounding similar to the other surveys. The first two questions in this survey once again reviewed profession choice and years in practice. Sixteen of 21 responders screened for mental health with every patient, every day, while five screened only when necessary (see Table 18). Various screening tools were reported including the Adult Symptom Screener (see Table 19).

This survey indicated a need for this topic as an independent continuing education unit as 71.4% preferred that method. This would be another area for another research project. This choice had been left out of the first survey. Thirty-one percent wanted an in-person class and 21% asked for a review article (as opposed to 15.79% as an article in a peer-reviewed journal; see Table 20).
Table 18

*Screening Frequency for Mental Health*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With every patient, every day</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When necessary</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If a patient asks for it</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would screen more if I had more knowledge or a screening tool</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 19

*Familiarity with Adult Symptom Screener and Access to It*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I use it</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I use the mental health screener in my EHR</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I use another screening tool</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I refer patients that I think may have mental health issues</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I feel uncomfortable dealing with mental health issues</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 20

Preference for Receipt of More Education on Schizophrenia, Particularly How to Recognize It and Family Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Independent continuing education units</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An in-person class or conference</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A research paper</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A review article of research papers</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article in peer reviewed journal</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The American Journal of Psychiatric Nursing was the number one choice with 38.89%. The audience for this magazine was psychiatric and most of the responders were psychiatric providers. This would not necessarily reach primary care providers (see Table 21). The American Association of Nurse Practitioners was the preferred conference (61%) and would be a logical place to present this topic. The Institute for Functional Medicine and Barkley (nurse practitioner study courses) were also mentioned. The other conference was also mental health focused and the proposed audience for this research was primary care (see Table 22).

Further research should be done into what kind of knowledge primary care providers needed concerning mental health and how mental health providers could make PCPs aware of them.
Table 21

*Type of Peer-Reviewed Journals Read*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Primary Care and Community Health</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Access Journals (700 journals)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Community Health</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMC Family Practice</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health and Prevention</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Mental Health and Human Behavior</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of American Psychiatric Nurses Association</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Journal of Nursing</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Professional Nursing</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 22

*Conferences That Would Be a Good Fit for This Topic*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APNA</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AANP</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPACE</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Survey Four**

Survey four received nine responses to the six questions (see Appendix F). Regarding the first question, eight providers agreed extra education was needed to help primary care recognize possible schizophrenia and one provider commented, “I don’t know enough about primary care to answer this question.” Eight providers agreed to the second question regarding whether a list of symptoms would be helpful to primary care providers to help them recognize possible schizophrenia. One provider commented, “I don’t know enough about primary care to answer this question” and another provider added “prodromal symptoms.” The fourth question brought a very practical answer (see Table 23).
Table 23

**Would a Mental Health Screening Tool Be Helpful and Practical for Primary Care Providers?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- I don't know enough about primary care to answer this question
- Primary care providers have no time for scales

An article in a peer-reviewed journal for primary care providers as an educational tool received 100% agreement (fifth question). There were two additional comments: “I don't know enough about primary care to answer this question” and “If they would take the time to read it.” In answer to the sixth question, a one-page list of symptoms with quick questions to ask patients and links to other realistic information in the primary care setting received a 100% agreement. Answers were primarily yes but one outlier indicated she/he did not know enough about primary care to answer.

**Survey Five**

One more survey was sent (see Appendix G), asking whether a brief information sheet would be helpful to primary care in recognizing possible schizophrenia. Of 21 responses, 20 were positive with three comments. No comment was given on the one “No” answer so it was not possible to determine the reason.

**Summary**

The experts who answered the surveys agreed that a brief schizophrenia screening tool would be especially helpful to those providers in primary care. Although provider
time is particularly limited in primary care, if a provider noticed the symptoms mentioned in the tool, he or she could use the tool to ask specific questions in a timely manner. Making the most of a provider’s time is especially necessary due to time restraints in daily practice.
CHAPTER V

DISCUSSION

Although the scope of the group for developing and review of the brief information sheet was predicted to be smaller than the recommendations in the Clinical Practice Guideline Development Manual: A Quality Driven Approach for Translating Evidence Into Action” (Rosenfeld & Schiffman, 2009, pp. 1-73), the group of experts was actually larger, while still diverse and well-qualified. The article called for a group of 14-20 participants but the group for this scholarly project was 41 for the first round of questions and 31 for the second round of questions including primary care nurse practitioners, psychiatric nurse practitioners, a psychologist, several therapists, and both primary care and psychiatric nurse practitioner students.

Results of Surveys

The first round of questions determined there was little understanding of schizophrenia in primary care and knowing the symptoms of this disease would be helpful to those providers. Once the first surveys were answered, it was obvious the question was too broad to do a single Delphi review. Using both mental health providers and PCPs gave enough information to see the need for more education in the primary care setting but a screening tool was not found to be useful at this stage. The mental health providers used a variety of screening tools but did not use electronic health
records. The primary care providers used electronic health records and these PCPs had their own mental health screening tools.

A screening tool without the accompanying knowledge would do little to encourage primary care providers to recognize possible schizophrenia. Thus, this researcher concluded that advancing the knowledge of primary care providers concerning schizophrenia was a future goal.

**Unintended Consequences**

Many more respondents were received than anticipated. The questions were too broad for three surveys and the information collected led to more questions. Preferred learning methods were more diverse than expected. This researcher had more knowledge than was required by the scope of the project and found it difficult to pare down the information needed. The surveys pointed to a great need for family education for both primary care and mental health care providers. This project did foster dialog between primary care and mental health care, resulting in some Facebook discussions that were outside the parameters of the project.

**Clinical Guideline Objective Outcomes**

**Objective One Outcome**

The first objective was to prepare information on detecting possible schizophrenia at the primary care level. Objective one was met through a literature search performed using both EBSCO host and PsychInfo. Boolean phrase searches included “primary care schizophrenia”, “prodromal schizophrenia”, primary care, mental health”, schizophrenia diagnosis”, “schizophrenia screening”, and “schizophrenia costs.” A Google search was also performed to find stand-alone websites with information, such as NIH, NIMH, and
Schizophrenia. More than 200 article titles were scanned and 40 were reviewed. In addition, the scholarly project research advisor, Dr. Kathleen Dunemann, provided articles on clinical practice guideline development. A subsequent literature search in November 2018 found more than 2,000 articles using the words “schizophrenia” and “primary care schizophrenia.” Another eight updated articles were found among the more than 240 reviewed.

**Objective Two Outcomes**


**Objective Three Outcomes**

A brief information sheet relevant to both providers and patients was objective three. “Most general practitioners see one or two people with a first episode psychosis each year,” according to an editorial written by Shiers and Lester (2004), joint directors of the National Development Network for Early Interventions. They went on to say that improving the knowledge and competency (concerning psychosis) was not enough: “The concept of early intervention puts the onus on primary care…to make them accessible,
non-stigmatizing and relevant” (Shiers & Lester, 2004, p. 1452). A long-term prognosis for those with psychosis shows outcomes at the two-year mark could strongly predict outcomes 15 years later. Therefore, this guideline and a quicker possible diagnosis would be relevant to the primary care provider and improve the patient’s quality of life.

**Objective Four Outcome**

An information sheet that was flexible enough to accommodate different ages and clinical settings was the outcome for the fourth objective. This information sheet is applicable to both children and adults, although most schizophrenia is initially apparent in young adults (see Appendix H.

**Objective Five Outcome**

Making sure the information sheet answered the economic indications for the provider (length of a limited visit, time spent to understand information) was the outcome for the fifth objective. One of the comments expressed during the Delphi review was PCPs would not have time to do anything else in their limited time. However, this brief information sheet would remind the provider and also give the patient time to think about it (if it was posted on the wall in the office).

**Objective Six Outcome**

Objective six was to provide the information in a way that the PCP could refresh her/his knowledge while reviewing the information sheet with a possible patient. The brief information sheet would provide this knowledge as part of a quick exam.
Objective Seven Outcome

Objective seven was to have the information sheet be safe. Asking several questions and observing specific behavior was harmful to no one. Beginning treatment sooner would greatly enhance outcomes for patients.

Objective Eight Outcome

Objective eight was to have the brief information sheet actually improve the quality of care and the patient’s quality of life. Any early intervention in the case of a patient with possible schizophrenia would improve the life of that patient and his/her family as great financial and emotional costs are associated with undiagnosed schizophrenia.

Objective Nine Outcome

Objective nine was to have the brief information sheet be complete for the objectives. The guideline covered the overview of a patient with schizophrenia. Asking the questions in a non-judgmental way would give the patient a chance to voice any concerns they might have.

Summary

This evidenced-based scholarly project sought to help primary care providers recognize possible schizophrenia in the primary care setting. This quality improvement project delved into the need for specific education on schizophrenia and how best to deliver that education in a practical manner. The primary care setting is often a very fast-paced environment due to the number of patients seen and their primary complaints. However, patients with schizophrenia visit their primary care provider at a greater rate than those without schizophrenia. Knowing how to recognize possible schizophrenia
during those visits could get patients to a mental health provider much sooner and increase the quality of life for those patients and for their families. This scholarly DNP project was very satisfying, particularly when the researcher found no short questionnaire had been studied to any degree. Three comments made during the validation (fifth) survey were especially convincing:

Absolutely relevant in PC and even inpatient setting! A screening tool for would be great for at risk patients.

Yes--these questions are absolutely essential to incorporate into the ROS as a part of every H & P. I ask these very questions to each of my patients on a daily basis. Thank you for posting these vital questions, so that other APNs can incorporate them into all patient encounters.

Some of these are obviously more targeted toward psychotic illness, some toward general mental health, which is good! These are all things a GP should be aware of in their patients. The one about doing things that others find easy does seem a bit too general in my opinion. The only thing I would say is perhaps the TV one to be a little more specific and it could say "tell you to do things, or seems like it is talking directly to you". Or perhaps something about feeling distracted by bothersome or scary thoughts to the point where it is hard to function. Seems good overall!

Conclusions

Moving forward in practice, the conclusion was made that a one-page information sheet might prove to be the most effective tool for primary care (see Appendix H), an article in a peer-reviewed journal would be second, and an educational session at a conference would be the third most effective. While this project focused primarily on the recognition of possible schizophrenia, other mental health symptoms also need to be addressed. Useful pieces of information gathered in this project pointed to the more prevalent use of electronic health records in primary care than in mental health. Therefore, the PCPs with electronic health records would be more likely to use the mental health screening tool within that system rather than an outside screening tool.
Another great use of this information would be a pilot study. Sending this sheet to primary care clinics and urgent care clinics and suggesting they post this information would add no extra time burden to clinicians. Providing this information sheet to patients would also be helpful in some cases.

**Limitations to Methodology**

A lack of social media knowledge on the part of this researcher made the Delphi method a challenge. As the research proceeded, newer ways to collect the data were found. Although more surveys were requested and sent out, the first survey collected 41 responses. The second survey collected 33 responses and the third survey collected 19 responses. These responses were deemed sufficient by the researcher. More providers had expressed willingness to participate, but the privacy aspect of the survey responses made it impossible to determine who was unable to respond. The third survey had an error in one question that listed APRN primary care twice and this resulted in one responder’s answer being counted twice. As the surveys were sent, more and better worded questions were thought of but three surveys were what was proposed to the responders and that was deemed sufficient by the researcher.

In the third survey, several responders messaged back that they had already completed the survey which spoke to how the survey titles were worded. Clearer differentiation between the titles might have produced more responses. However, many more responses were received than initially expected.

**Limitations to Project**

Mental health is such a diverse subject that even the small part chosen here pointed to many other avenues, different diagnoses, destigmatizing mental health,
learning pathways for clinicians, and time limitations of primary care providers. There is a long way to go to figuring out how to best serve the mental health population in general.

**Recommendations for Future Research**

Clearly expanding the role of the primary care provider in the areas of mental health knowledge would be helpful, especially to newer PCPs. Having updated continuing education units for those providers could encourage expansion of mental health knowledge. Having a laminated summary to post on the office wall would be a great reminder. Handouts could be made for patients who might be interested. Future research could examine the different aspects of mental health and how PCPs might recognize those symptoms.

Future research could include all those areas. Putting this scholarly project into practice would be a great area by presenting it at a conference, submitting it as a poster at a conference, or publishing it in a journal for primary care providers.

This could be done as a pilot project by sending it to state agencies like the Behavioral Health Education Center of Nebraska, to primary care offices, and to quick clinics with follow up to see how it was received and perceived by providers and patients.

**Doctor of Nursing Practice Evaluative Criteria**

Five criteria (E = Enhances; C = Culmination; P = Partnerships; I = Implements; E = Evaluates [EC as PIE]) put forth to be fulfilled by a final DNP project were agreed upon by the American Association of Colleges of Nursing and National Organization of Nurse Practitioners Faculty (cited in Waldrop, Caruso, Fuchs, & Hypes, 2014, p. 300).
Enhances Health Outcomes

Early recognition of possible schizophrenia could lead to earlier appropriate treatment and enhanced quality of life. The gap of knowledge concerning schizophrenia in the primary care setting was found in the Delphi review by comparing both primary care providers and mental health providers. A quick review of the symptoms of schizophrenia by PCPs would aid them in recognizing possible schizophrenia when seeing their patients, providing a quicker referral to mental health providers. Recognizing this health risk sooner would lead to an enhanced health outcome for these patients.

Culmination of Practice Inquiry

Meeting the requirement of using “knowledge and competencies gained in the doctoral program to enact change” (Waldrop et al., 2014, p. 302) was accomplished through the literature review and the Delphi review. In the literature review, evidence was found that early detection of schizophrenia was indeed possible in primary care (Austin et al., 2015) who initially saw these patients (Addington et al., 2015). In the Delphi review, primary care providers asked for more information on schizophrenia and indicated their willingness to use that information. With early intervention comes the real possibility of modulating long-term brain changes in patients with schizophrenia. This change in primary care methodology (recognizing possible schizophrenia) is “pragmatic and practical, likely to be used in the real-world setting in a timely, reproducible, and sustainable fashion” (Waldrop et al., 2014, p. 302). This change “can interface with the electronic health record and could satisfy the required clinical quality measures from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid” (Waldrop et al., 2014, p. 302).
Partnerships

The partnership between primary care and mental health care was evident in the answers to the Delphi review questions. These inter-professional partnerships were enhanced by sharing information on personal and professional knowledge, challenges to recognition of mental health issues, and the challenges in primary care to have the knowledge and time to take the extra step. Future partnerships could also occur as the project endures after completion. Using the information guidelines would enhance partnerships between the primary care provider and the patient—the consumer of health care services.

Implementing Evidence into Practice

The specific clinical situation of a patient with possible schizophrenia will be enhanced. The evidence found during this project has been compressed into a usable, practical, brief information sheet for primary care (see Appendix H). Using a one-page sheet for recognizing possible schizophrenia would make it possible for a primary care provider to easily assimilate the information in a time-efficient manner. This sheet could also be posted in the primary care office for patient education. Helping primary care to recognize mental health patients has a “larger scale societal value” (Waldrop et al., 2014, p. 302) by educating more people about mental health issues.

Evaluation of Healthcare and Practice Outcomes

While the initial results of this Delphi review were promising, further research and education are needed to enhance the knowledge of PCPs concerning mental health. Improvements could be made in the number of referrals PCPs make to mental health providers. Improving the outlook of patients with schizophrenia would be an
improvement in healthcare outcomes. Improving the way primary care providers refer patients to mental health providers would improve practice outcomes. Educating mental health providers in how to interact with primary care providers could be a possible topic for more research and education.

**Summary**

If this screening tool could be provided to primary care offices on a colorful handout where it could be posted in patient rooms, it would remind both the patient and the provider to use the screening tool if appropriate.
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CONSENT FORM FOR HUMAN PARTICIPATION IN RESEARCH
Informed Consent - No signature document

(University of Northern Colorado)

CONSENT FORM FOR HUMAN PARTICIPATION IN RESEARCH

UNIVERSITY OF NORTHERN COLORADO

Project title: Recognizing Possible Schizophrenia in the Primary Care Setting

Student: Susan H. Winchester, MSN, APRN (DNP Student)

Academic Advisor: Kathleen N. Dunemn, PhD, APRN, CNM, School of Nursing

Project advisor: Kathleen N. Dunemn, PhD, APRN, CNM, School of Nursing

Phone number: (970) 351-3081/ (803) 409-8391 e-mail: Kathleen.Dunemn@unco.edu

Expert Consensus via a Delphi Study

The purpose of this capstone project is to evaluate the evidence on schizophrenia in the primary care setting: how the disease can be recognized in the early stages in the primary care setting and which evaluation tool is the most effective. Planning how to implement the recommendation as a clinical practice guideline and evaluate outcomes is the final phase of this project.

The Delphi method is a structured communication method that utilizes a questionnaire to survey experts in two or more rounds. Information from the literature review on schizophrenia in primary care is used to develop the first round of questions regarding symptoms and screening. The response from the first round will be anonymously shared with participants in the second round. Participants will gain additional knowledge through the shared responses of their colleagues. Anonymity reduces the impact of feelings of embarrassment, judgements, fear of repercussions, the bandwagon effect, and influences of personalities dominating the process. The Delphi method has been used in healthcare and other industries and is of value where there is uncertainly or lack of empirical knowledge. It is anticipated that two or three rounds will be necessary but not more than four rounds. All Delphi surveys will be sent and returned electronically within the firewall on the intranet. It is expected that each participant will spend approximately 15-20 minutes to complete each round of the Delphi process.

The purpose of this e-mail is to invite your participation. Participation is voluntary and all responses will be kept anonymous. The data collected will be kept on a password protected thumb drive that is accessible only by the nurse practitioner (DNP student) and her advisor. There are no foreseeable risks to participants. This is a quality improvement project to evaluate the evidence for recognizing schizophrenia in the primary care setting and evaluating a screening tool to be used. Past and existing patients will not benefit from this project as there is no direct intervention. The potential benefit for future patients is improved knowledge of recognizing schizophrenia in the primary care setting. Future clinicians may benefit from having a clinical recommendation to follow.
Participation is voluntary. If you begin to participate, you may decide to stop or withdraw at any time. Your decision will be respected and will not result in a loss of benefits to which you are otherwise entitled. If you have any questions, please contact one of the undersigned.

Having read the above document and having had an opportunity to ask any questions, please access and complete the attached document, “Phase One: Delphi Study Round One Questions.” Please return the completed survey to susan@ncic.net.

By completing and returning the questionnaire, you give us permission for your participation. You may keep this form for future reference. If you have any concerns about your selection or treatment as a research participant, please contact Sherry May, IRB Administrator, office of Sponsored Programs, Kepner, Hall, University of Northern Colorado, Greeley, Co 80639. Phone 970-351-1910.

Kathleen N. Dunemn, PhD, APRN, CNM  
Susan H. Winchester, MSN, APRN

Kathleen.Dunemn@unco.edu

970-351-3081

This informed consent will be e-mailed and accompany each round of the Delphi study
APPENDIX C

SURVEY ONE
Question #1: What is your career title/ role (you may indicate more than one if applicable)

Answer Options:

- APRN Psychiatric Nurse Practitioner
- Primary Care APRN
- Other APRN
- Psychiatrist
- MD, not psychiatrist
- PA Psychiatry
- PA primary care
- APRN student psychiatry
- APRN student primary care
- Psychologist
- Therapist
- Other
- Other (please specify)

Question #2: How long have you been in practice?

Answer Options

- 0-6 months
- 6 months to 1 year
- 1-5 years
- 5 years or more
- Not yet in practice
- Other
- Other (please specify)
Question #3: What has been your experience in recognizing possible schizophrenia?

Answer Options:

I do this in my role as a provider and feel quite comfortable with it
I do this in my role as provider, but am not fully comfortable with it
I seldom see patients with schizophrenia and am not sure how to recognize it
I am a student, but feel comfortable that I can recognize schizophrenia
I am a student, but and not fully comfortable with recognizing schizophrenia
Other (please specify)

Question #4: How often do you feel the need to screen for mental health?

Answer Options:

I do it with every patient every day
I do it when necessary
I do it if a patient asks for it
Other
Other (please specify)
Question #5: How well do you feel your current screening process is working?

Answer Options:

I think my screening process is excellent

I think my screening process is good

I would like more information and knowledge about schizophrenia and how to recognize it

Other

Question #6: Research shows that brain scans can detect changes as schizophrenia progresses. Treating that schizophrenia as early as possible can prevent or slow those changes and improve the quality of life of those patients.

Answer Options:

I knew that.

I didn't know that

Other (please specify)
Question #7: What symptoms would make you think that a patient may have schizophrenia?

Answer Options:

Auditory hallucinations: Do you hear things that other people don't hear?

Visual hallucinations: Do you see things that other people don't see?

Hallucinations: Are these things scary to you?

Delusions: Do you believe things that other people don't believe? Do you think people are following you? Does your television or radio talk directly to you?

Is someone or something telling you to do things that you wouldn't ordinarily do?

Are you becoming less interested in things around you or in things you used to enjoy?

A decrease or lack of personal hygiene?

Increased feelings of isolation

A lack of understanding or social nuances or social cues

A general sadness that is difficult to understand

Reduced functioning

Disorganized speech

Other

Other (please specify)
Question #8: What screening tools do you use for mental health or what is provided in your electronic health records?

Answer Options:

The Adult Symptom Screener screens for the following common DSM-IV diagnoses: Depression; Generalized Anxiety Disorder; Panic Disorder; Social Anxiety Disorder; Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder; PTSD; Bipolar Disorder; Eating Disorder; Alcoholism; Drug Abuse; ADHD; Personality Disorder; and Schizophrenia/Psychosis.

A mental health screening tool is provided with my EHR and I use it, but I don't know what it is called

A mental health screening tool is provided with my EHR, but I don't use it.

I don't use electronic health records

Other

Other (please specify)

Question #9: What more information would you like to have to better recognize schizophrenia?

Answer Options:

Information on screening

Information on symptoms

Family education

Referral information

Other

Other (please specify)
Question #10: What other questions would you like me to address?

Answer Options:

Other

Other (please specify)
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SURVEY TWO
Question # 1: Consent form

Question # 2: How is the most efficient way for you to receive educational information about schizophrenia?

Answer Options

Drug rep in my office with drug recommendations

An in-person class or conference, CME or webinar

Drug rep at a dinner with drug recommendations

An email from a drug rep

An email from a national organization not associated with a particular drug

A mailed one page information sheet with links to more information

A multi page copy of research dealing with schizophrenia

Article in a peer reviewed journal

General articles

Other (please specify)
Question #3: What kind of screening do you prefer for mental health?

Answer Options:

I prefer a screening tool that the patient fills out ahead of time and I review before seeing the patient

I use the screening tool in my EMR

I prefer a tool that I fill out while asking the patient questions

I prefer a more informal approach to mental health screening, a few questions to determine if further information is needed

I prefer to let the patients ask me if they feel depressed or if they feel the need for mental health screening

Other (please specify)
Question #4: What are some challenges in your day that might prevent you from reading new information on schizophrenia?

Answer Options:

- No time, I am just really busy
- Other issues are more important to me
- Since only 1% of the population have schizophrenia, I really don't see the information being useful to my practice
- I have stacks of research and information already sitting on my desk, floor, etc.
- Thinking about schizophrenia is scary to me
- Would I even understand it?
- I don't want to treat this disease
- Other (please specify)

Question #5: If you could add one thing to your day, what would it be? Okay, so you can choose more than one

Answer Options:

- Time
- Money
- Meditation
- Exercise
- Travel
- Education
- Peace
- Other (please specify)
Question #6: If you are in primary care or other non-psych professions, which of the following apply?

Answer Options:

I feel competent diagnosing and treating schizophrenia

I have others in my office that I can refer mental health patients to

I know what resources are available in my community to refer mental health patients to

I have a list of mental health providers I can refer to

Other (please specify)

Question #7: If you are a mental health provider, do the primary care clinics in your area know where you are and how to refer to you?

Answer Options:

I don't know

I should send them some information

I think the doctors would be against sending patients to me

I'm not sure how to go about letting them know

Yes

Other (please specify)
Question #8: What is your preferred learning style?

Answer Options:

Video

Written

Audio

See one, do one, teach one

Hands on

Other (please specify)
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SURVEY THREE
Question # 1: Consent Form

Question # 2: What is your career title/role (you may choose more than one answer if applicable)?

Answer Options:

- APRN psychiatric
- APRN primary care
- APRN other
- Psychiatrist
- MD, DO, not psychiatrist
- PA psychiatry
- PA primary care
- APRN student psychiatry
- APRN primary care
- APRN other
- Psychologist
- Therapist
- Other
- Other (please specify)
Question #3: How long have you been in practice?

Answer Options:

- 0-6 months
- 6 months to 1 year
- 1-5 years
- 5 years or more
- Not yet in practice
- Student
- Other
- Other (please specify)

Question #4: How often do you screen for mental health?

Answer Options:

- With every patient, every day
- When necessary
- If a patient asks for it
- I would screen more if I had more knowledge or a screening tool
- Other
Question #5: 33% of respondents said that they used an Adult Symptom Screener. Are you familiar with that or do you have access to it?

Answer Options:

I use it

I use the mental health screener in my EHR

I use another screening tool

I refer patients that I think may have mental health issues

I feel uncomfortable dealing with mental health issues

Other

Other (please specify)

Question #6: Many respondents asked for more education on schizophrenia, particularly how to recognize it and family education. How do you prefer to receive this education?

Answer Options:

Independent CEUs

An in-person class or conference

A research paper

A review article of research papers

Article in peer reviewed journal

Other

Other (please specify)
Question #7: If you would read this type of article in a peer-reviewed journal, which journals do you read?

Answer Options:

- Journal of Primary Care and Community Health
- Open Access Journals (700 journals)
- Journal of Community Health
- BMC Family Practice
- Mental Health and Prevention
- Journal of Mental Health and Human Behavior
- Journal of American Psychiatric Nurses Association
- American Journal of Nursing
- Journal of Professional Nursing
- Other
- Other (please specify)

Question #8: What association conferences do you attend or what conferences would you think would be a good fit for this topic?

Answer Options:

- APNA
- AANP
- NPACE
- Other
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SURVEY FOUR
Question # 1: Consent Form

Question # 2: Is extra education needed to help primary care recognize possible schizophrenia in their patients so that they can be referred to a mental health provider?

   Answer Options
   Yes
   No
   Other

Question #3: Would a list of symptoms be helpful to primary care providers to help them recognize possible schizophrenia?

   Answer Options:
   Yes
   No
   Other

Question #4: Would a mental health screening tool be helpful and practical for primary care providers?

   Answer Options:
   Yes
   No
   Other
Question #5: Would an article in a peer-reviewed journal be helpful to primary care providers?

Answer Options:

Yes
No
Other

Question #6: Would a one page list of symptoms with quick questions to ask patients and links to other information be realistic in the primary care setting?

Answer Options:

Yes
No
Other
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SURVEY FIVE
Question #1: A Brief Information Sheet

What do you think—Would primary care find the following brief information sheet helpful in recognizing possible schizophrenia?

With some specific knowledge,

**Schizophrenia Doesn’t Have to Be Scary**

If you have a patient with possible delusions, hallucinations, disorganized speech, disorganized or catatonic behavior, or reduced functioning,

**ASK THEM**

**Do you ever hear things that others don’t hear?**

**Do you ever see things that others don’t see or things that seem to be out of the corner of your eye, like something scurrying across the floor?**

**Do voices, or the television, or the radio tell you to do things you really don’t want to do?**

**Do you ever think that you have a specific mental ability or special power that others don’t have?**

**Do you find it hard to do things that other people seem to do easily?**

**Do you ever feel scared or paranoid about things that may happen to you or to your family?**
If the answer to any of these questions is yes, please refer them to a local mental health provider. If you have any of these symptoms, please tell your doctor.
APPENDIX H

BRIEF INFORMATION SHEET
With some specific knowledge,

Schizophrenia Doesn’t Have to Be Scary

If you have a patient with possible delusions, hallucinations, disorganized speech, disorganized or catatonic behavior, or reduced functioning,

**ASK THEM**

- Do you ever hear things that others don’t hear?
- Do you ever see things that others don’t see or things that seem to be out of the corner of your eye, like something scurrying across the floor?
- Do voices, or the television, or the radio tell you to do things you really don’t want to do?
- Do you ever think that you have a specific mental ability or special power that others don’t have?
- Do you find it hard to do things that other people seem to do easily?
- Do you ever feel scared or paranoid about things that may happen to you or to your family?
- If the answer to any of these questions is yes, please refer them to a local mental health provider. If you have any of these symptoms, please tell your doctor.